# A. 16 (B)

Page 111 Page 110 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 don't recall what the whole -- I recall 15c3. I 2 schedule that he would communicate to Bart 3 with -- there was a, first of all, in that 3 recall this 1.9 billion because I was sitting discussion there was a wrap-up of this is what there with the list and I said, "Gee, what is 4 4 5 15c3?" So I recall those two. I don't recall 5 the deal looks like. It's got the 45 billion, it's got the, on the asset side, it's got this if there were other categories discussed during 6 6 7 1.9, it's got 15c3, it may have had, you know, 7 that meeting. 8 other components to it. 8 O. You said a moment ago that Lowitt, 9 Bart agreed, Ian and Bart agreed that 9 when he reported on the 15c3 piece, he put that . 0 at about 1.9 billion? 10 these were the components on the assets side. 11 It had the assumed liabilities. They agreed 11 A. That was the marked value. 12 that those were the assumed liabilities that 12 O. Of marked value. And that there was 13 13 were going to agree, I don't remember the exact some value, but -- of what was in the box, but 4 numbers, and they said, okay, do we have an 14 he didn't know what it was? agreement? Barclays said yes. Ian was going to l 5 A. It was some value in 15c3, but he 5 go codify that, I believe, and talk to Bart. 6 6 didn't know how much there would be. 17 Bart was in a car with Weil lawyers on 17 Q. So when that meeting ended, did you have, whether you remember the number or not 18 his way to bankruptcy court. That's why he 18 19 wasn't in the room. today, did you have a sense of what the total 19 20 Q. When you say Ian was going to go away 20 additional value was between the 15c3 and the 21 and codify that, you mean put the schedules 21 contents of the box? 22 together? 22 A. No. 23 23 A. I think so, yes, that's what I mean. Q. Was there any plan made to calculate 24 Q. Did Mr. Klein say anything about this 24 that number? A. I think Ian was putting together a 25 process adding additional value for Barclays? 25 Page 113 Page 112 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 2 A. No, not adding additional value. He 2 some value left in Lehman; it was just a 3 didn't comment on that. 3 question of finding enough additional value to 4 make Barclays still close? 4 O. Did Klein make any recommendation in A. Yeah. And that project was Ian's 5 your hearing as to whether Barclays should or 5 6 project, not mine. I wasn't -- I was an 6 should not accept this deal? 7 observer to this part of the process. 7 A. Klein recommended that they accept the 8 deal if -- when they got the additional, all the 8 O. What was Ian's manner in this meeting? 9 additional collateral. 9 I mean, it's a tough week for everybody, but 10 what's his demeanor? 10 O. I don't mean this to be sarcastic. I just can't come up with another way of phrasing 11 A. He hadn't slept in a week, so he was a 11 12 12 little harried. it. 13 13 We're looking around for all this O. Did Ian identify other potential sources of additional value that he had looked 14 additional value and your memory is not clear on 14 at apart from the box and 15c3? 5 15 whether there was a target or not? 16 A. Yeah. 16 MR. HUME: Objection. Asked and 17 17 Q. Framed that way, what was the project 18 here? Was it to go find everything else and answered. 18 19 19 turn it over, or was it to find some, some Q. You can answer. A. No, he, as I said, he may have, but I 20 20 identifiable bucket of value until Barclays didn't -- I don't remember. 21 21 said, yeah, that's enough? Do you see the

22

23

24

25

discussed?

A. No, I don't.

22

23

24

25

distinction I'm making?

second, not the first.

A. Yes, it's -- the project was the

Q. Okay. So the idea was there would be

Q. You don't remember, then, any

particular other sources that he might have

Page 114 Page 115 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 (Discussion off the record.) Okay. Who did that? 3 3 A. I got a couple e-mails from Jim Seery BY MR. GAFFEY: 4 Q. While we're pulling a couple of 4 and I had a conversation with Jean-Francois 5 documents out, Mr. Kirk, let me see if I can get 5 Astier. 6 the rest of, you know, the blocks of your day. 6 O. And what were the, in sum and 7 This meeting ends. Lowitt is given 7 substance, what were you hearing in the reports the task of codifying -- your word -- you know, 8 from -- we don't have to go through this chapter 9 getting a list together? 9 and verse, but what were the nature of the 10 0 reports you were getting back from the --A. Yes. 11 11 Q. What do you do next? A. There was, first of all, they were --12 A. I just go back to my office. Sit 12 JF and Jim were trying to understand what the 13 there silently, stunned. 13 new deal was, so I had a conversation with JF 14 Q. Maybe try to get some sleep? 14 trying to explain to him what I knew, and 15 15 because they were, I believe, going to A. Yeah. 16 16 Q. Do you have any role or involvement in participate in a meeting with the creditors 17 17 explaining this, what the deal was. this asset collection process we've been talking 18 about after this point? 18 And then I got -- so there was some 19 A. No. 19 back and forth to just try to reach me on that 20 20 Q. Did you go to the hearing? front, and then there was -- they sent me a 21 couple updates of, you know, Bart's proffers 21 22 22 being read and there's cross-examination, and Q. Did anyone render reports to you from 23 23 the hearing -then ultimately the deal was done with some 24 A. Yes. 24 quotes from Judge Peck later that night. 25 2.5 Q. -- as to what was going on? Q. Did anyone --Page 117 Page 116 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 bed sometime late that evening. A. And then somebody called me when it 3 3 Q. Sometime after you heard that the deal was done. 4 Q. Somebody called to say the judge has 4 had been approved? 5 approved the deal? 5 A. No. No. I went to bed before that. 6 6 Somebody woke me up out of bed. A. Yeah. 7 7 Q. Did you continue to do any work or did Q. Did you get reports from anyone that 8 told you whether or not anyone had told the 8 you perform any tasks in connection with the 9 judge that this was a new transaction, different 9 transaction --. 0 . 0 transaction? A. No. A. I didn't get presented -- one early 11 . 1 Q. -- over the weekend? .2 conversation I had with JF, I didn't talk to 12 A. Saturday I had no involvement. On anybody directly by phone, and I just got a few 13 Sunday, Bart asked me to come in and try to L3 14 participate in the closing of the transaction at 4 cursory e-mails. . 5 5 Weil Gotshal. O. And --A. But at one point there was, you know, 16 Q. Did you do that? . 6 my understanding was there was -- and early, 17 1.7 A. Yes. 18 18 there was discussions before they went, you Q. Just so I can plan a little bit for 19 what I want to ask you after lunch break, give 19 know, to court to wrap it up with various 20 constituents. And certainly there were 20 me an outline of what you did on the Sunday. A. So we went over to Weil. We, Bart and constituents there, Bart, Michael Klein and 21 21 22 others, that had the details. 22 I, were put in a room. You know, we were there 23 **b**.3 Q. Now, did you stay in your office until as a resource as people were trying to put you heard about the --24 24 schedules together, et cetera.

25

25

A. No. No. I went home and I went to

An issue came up early in the morning

. 0

.2

Page 118

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK around the settlement of trades on Monday and how they were going to be handled, Lehman trades, customer trades with Lehman. We tried to help work that issue out, and then we effectively became observers of this dispute between JPMorgan and Barclays, as there were many meetings held into the evening that JPMorgan came to Weil about 6 o'clock Sunday night and at the urging of the Federal Reserve and they walked through, described in very large group meetings the issues they had.

We sort of hung around and eventually were told that Barclays and JPMorgan had resolved their dispute and that the deal could go to closure.

- Q. When you say "we," are you talking about yourself and Mr. McDade?
- A. And there were others at Lehman as well.
  - O. Who else?

.3

.8

b 1

LO

A. Jim Seery was there. I called him late in the afternoon. Ian Lowitt was there. Paolo was there. A guy name Alastair Blackwell was there. Steve Berkenfeld was there. That's

Page 120

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. Oh, I'm sure there was lots of documentation, but I'm not a lawyer so I wasn't reviewing it.
- Q. Do you know, apart from whether you read it or reviewed it, my question is do you know if a new -- a new contract or an amendment or anything else was written up that would reflect the fact that the deal had changed on Friday?
- A. I assume so. I don't -- I never saw actual evidence of it, but ...
- Q. I've asked you a couple of times when you said you've assumed. What's the basis of the assumption? The fact that it --
- A. That you couldn't proceed with a commercial transaction without it.
- Q. Do you have any factual basis to think that it was? Did you talk to anybody about it? Did you see any documents?
- A. I didn't see any documents. Certainly we were advised by Weil that the documents were in good order.

(Exhibit 316, an e-mail chain with attached balance sheet, marked for

Page 119

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK everybody I recall.

- Q. Did you learn how the dispute between JPM and Barclays had been resolved, what the terms of that resolution were?
  - A. They didn't tell us.
- Q. So did anybody ask, anybody say how did this issue get resolved?
- A. Yeah, and they said it's between us and -- Barclays representatives told us -- well, I don't remember if it was the lawyer or who specifically, but a representative from Barclays said that's between Barclays and JPMorgan.
- Q. So the closing did not take place on the Sunday, correct?
- A. It eventually I think closed Monday morning, but it was one continuous -- I left at 2 A.M., and then they worked towards closing at some point in the morning prior to the markets opening.
  - Q. Did you go back for the closing?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you know if any additional documentation was done to reflect the new agreement that had been reached on Friday?

Page 121

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK identification, as of this date.)

- Q. I have put before you, Mr. Kirk, a three-page document. I'll ask you to take a look through it sufficiently to tell me whether you've seen it before.
  - A. Yes, I've seen it.
- Q. Did you see it at or around the time that it's -- of September 19 at 6:16 A.M.? That's the date at the top.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And was this sent to you -- was it your understanding this was sent to you to prepare for that Friday meeting we've been talking about?
- A. It was one, one of the documents that I assumed we would review.
  - Q. Okay. And do you recall --

MR. HUME: Are you marking it as an exhibit?

MR. GAFFEY: Yes, I did, Hamish. It's 316.

MR. HUME: I'm sorry.

Q. Do you recall reviewing it at the Friday meeting?

	Page 122		Page 123
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	A. No, I actually don't recall reviewing	2	A. Only from reading it.
3	this.	3 ,	Q. I don't want you just to guess from
4	Q. Let me just direct your attention,	4	the face of the document.
5	sir, to the third page well, to the second	5	A. No.
6	page. You'll see that that's a balance sheet	6	Q. Do you recall any discussion of
7	that's attached to the e-mail and then referred	7	transaction adjustments?
8	to in the second e-mail.	8	A. No.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. And in particular, if you could take a
10	Q. Do you know who prepared this balance	10	look at the last page of the document, I would
11		11	ask you to take a look at the Transaction
12	A. I don't know specifically who prepared	12	Adjustments column where it says 2 billion and
13		13	1.645 billion, are you with me?
14		14	A. Uh-huh.
15		15	Q. And you'll see that those relate to,
16		16	if you read across to the left, items Bonus
17	Martin somebody who worked for Martin Kelly	17	Payable and Cure Payments?
18		18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. And within the balance sheet, sir, the	19	Q. And if you read across with me on the
20	fifth column that's entitled Transaction	20	Cure Payments line, you'll see, as of 8/31/08,
21	Adjustments, do you see that?	21	the number 701 is there, you with me?
22 23 24 25	A. Yes.	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Q. Do you know what that column	23	Q. And then as of 9/17/08, 605?
24	represents, what the entries in that column are	24	A. Uh-huh.
25	meant to represent?	25	Q. And then there's a transaction
	Page 124		Page 125
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	adjustment of 1,645,000,000?	2	Q. And I'll ask you the same question,
3	A. Uh-huh.	3	sir, with respect to the line for bonus payable.
4	Q. Resulting in a balance sheet transfer	4	Do you see a transaction adjustment of 2
5	total of 2.25 billion, do you see that?	5	billion, and the 2 billion is contained in the
6	A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.	6	Balance Sheet Transferred column?
7	Q. 2.25 is the amount that you recall	7	A. Yes.
8	Mr. Tonucci telling you was the assumed	8	Q. Any conversations with Lowitt, Kelly
9	liability for cure that was Barclays'	9	or Tonucci about that topic?
10	consideration in the deal?	10	A. No, no conversations.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. Any conversations with anyone else
12	Q. Do you have any knowledge or have you	12	about that topic?
13	been involved in any discussion where a	13	A. No.
14	transaction adjustment of 1.645 billion was made	14	Q. Was that topic addressed at the Friday
15	against Lehman's books? Do you know if that	15	meeting we've been talking about, to your
16	number was written up?	16	recollection?
17	A. If it was written up, meaning?	17	A. Only to the extent that there was a
18	Q. Do you know if the amount for cure	18	liability that was 2 billion and 2 and a quarter
19	payments shown on Lehman's books was written up	19	billion that's reflected in this balance sheet
20	by 1.645 billion?	20	that had been agreed to prior.
21	A. Oh, I have no idea.	21	Q. Do you know if those liabilities of 2
22	Q. Did you ever have a discussion with	22	billion and 2 and a quarter billion were based
23	Mr. Tonucci or Mr. Kelly or Mr. Lowitt about	23	on Lehman's accruals on its books, or were they
24	that topic?	24	agreed numbers?
25	A. No.	25	A. I don't know.

	Page 126		Page 127
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	Q. Mr. Kirk, I'm showing you what was	2	A. I don't recall specifically what this
3	previously marked as Exhibit 8 at a prior	3	was used to describe to me, except that it was
4	deposition. Take a look through it, sir,	4	a this I assume is a, just a comprehensive
5	sufficiently to tell me whether you've seen it	5	list of the assets that were going were
6	before.	6	planned to be transferred to Barclays.
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. Now, if you would take look, Mr. Kirk,
8	Q. Okay. And what is the document, sir?	8	at the third page of the document. It's a
9	A. This is a list of assets that Lehman	9	MR. KELLEY: Sorry, Bob, you said the
10	Brothers owned.	10	third page?
11	Q. Was this document and again, just	11	MR. GAFFEY: Yes, the third page.
12	for clarity, there's a time on the cover e-mail	12	A. The Woodburn?
13	of Mr. Reilly's e-mail to you of 10:51 A.M.,	13	Q. No, just before that.
14	GMT, which would put it at 6:51 New York time,	14	A. That's my second page.
15	okay?	15	Q. My fault. The second page of the
16	Do you recall receiving this in the	16	document. What do you understand that to be,
17	early morning of Friday?	17	sir?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. I don't recall what this was
19	Q. Is this one of the schedules that	19	particularly. I can read it for the face of it,
20	··· 3 3	20	but
21	the Friday meeting?	21	Q. Well, if you could read it with the
22		22	Friday meeting in mind and tell me if it
23		23	refreshes your recollection as to what role this
24	V	24	summary would have played in your activities at
25	you at the Friday meeting?	25	that meeting, that would be helpful.
	Page 128		Page 129
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	A. I don't recall this being discussed	2	MR. GAFFEY: Why don't we take a lunch
3	because we quickly got to, as I described	3	break now.
4	before, that whatever schedules had been	4	(Luncheon Recess; Time Noted: 12:29
5	produced earlier in that week were no longer	5	P.M.)
6	operative.	6	
7	Q. Do you know if the schedule that's	7	
8	attached to Exhibit 8 bears any relation to the	8	
9	schedule that was summarized in Exhibit 19, that	9	
10	is, that	10	
11	A. I don't remember. I can't tell.	11	
12	Q. Okay. Just back on that page,	12	
13	Mr. Kirk, do you know what the column Excluded	13	
14	is for? Do you know what it means?	14	
15	A. No, I don't know what that means.	15	
16	Q. I take it, then, you wouldn't be able	16	
17	to tell me what "Available for Transfer" means	17	
18	either?	18	
19	A. No, I don't remember what that is.	19	
20	Q. Do you recall anyone discussing this?	20	
21	Whether you recall the contents of the	X T	
22	discussion, do you recall anybody discussing	22	
23	this at the meeting?	23	
24	A. I don't recall discussing this	24	
25	particular sheet.	25,	

	Page 130		Page 131
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	AFTERNOON SESSION	2	Q. Uh-huh.
3	(Time Noted: 1:16 P.M.)	3	A. It was not viewed as a happy
4	ALEX KIRK, resumed and	4 '	circumstance.
5	testified further as follows:	5	Q. Sure. Were there parts, to your
6	EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.)	6	knowledge, were there parts of the deal, of the
7	MR. GAFFEY:	7	negotiations in which Shafir would be the only
8	Q. We talked a little bit this morning,	8	source of knowledge?
9	Mr. Kirk, about Mr. Shafir leaving on Thursday?	9	A. I don't know the answer to that. I
10	A. Yes.	10	wasn't around the negotiations at all earlier in
11	3	11	the week, so I don't know who did what.
12		12	Q. Okay. From your time around there
13	, <i>E</i>	13	during the week, I mean, I understand your
14		14	involvement in the deal becomes more intense, as
15	, ,	15	it were, on the Friday, but do you pick up any
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	idea during the week of how involved McDade is
17		17	in the negotiations?
18	1	18	A. I think he was very involved.
19	v 1 1	19	Q. Is he negotiating particular issues or
20		20	the deal as a whole?
21	3	21	A. Again, I don't have any specifics.
22	± ;	22	They were, all these my understanding all
23		23	these negotiations were taking place on the 32nd
24	<b>F</b> ,,	24	floor, which I never went up to the 32nd floor
25		25	during that period of time except maybe to find
	Page 132		Page 133
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	somebody, so	2	Q. Reading up the e-mail chain from
3	Q. In the initial conversations with Bart	3	bottom to top, you appear to get added in in the
4	McDade on the Thursday night and with Lehman	4	message from Paul Houston to O'Meara, Tonucci,
5	folks on the Friday morning, does anyone comment	5 6	Kirk, Walsh, dated 18th September at 23:12, do
6	on the departure of Shafir and its impact on the	7	you see that? A. Uh-huh.
7 8	deal?  MR. HUME: Objection. Asked and	8	MR. KELLEY: I think you meant
9	answered.	9	Houston.
10		10	Q. I beg your pardon. I do mean Houston,
11			yes.
12	•	12	A. Yes.
13	· ·	13	Q. Do you have an understanding of why
14	_	14	you are added into this e-mail conversation at
15		15	this point? What's the issue?
16	(Exhibit 317, a document bearing Bates	16	A. The issue appears to be, or I recall
17	Nos. 10310050, marked for identification, as	17	it to be the racers trust was a piece of
18	of this date.)	18	collateral that Barclays had received in the
19	Q. Mr. Kirk, I'll ask you just take a	19	repo. Inside the racers trust by the way,
20	look through that document sufficiently to tell	20	there are many racers trusts, so I think racers
21	, and the second	21	trust was something that indicated a whole
22	A. Yes.	22	series of financing trusts. It wasn't one
23	<b>2</b>	23	particular financing trust.
24	that it's dated, September 19?	24	But in one of them there might have
25	A. Yes.	25	been a some commercial real estate

		-	- Address - Addr
	Page 134		Page 135
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	collateral, Archstone, in particular, and Mike	2	Q. So, fairly understood, the issue here
3	Mazzei, who is ran commercial real estate at	3	is that Barclays does not want the racers, yes?
4	Barclays, is pinging the number to the guy in	4	A. Doesn't want commercial real estate.
5	commercial real estate, Paul Houston at Lehman,	5	Racers might have a whole variety of collateral
6	to try to understand the nature of that of	6	inside them.
7	well, he's trying to understand something about	7	Q. Do you know if the racers remained in
8	Archstone relative to that.	8	the assets that were ultimately transferred to
9	Q. What is Archstone?	9	Barclays?
10	A. Archstone is a very large commercial	10	A. No idea.
11	real estate financing that both Lehman and	11	Q. Do you know if there was any
12	Barclays participated in in the fall of '07.	12	discussion with Barclays about whether or not
13	Q. Now, in the e-mail in which you're	13	the racers should remain in the value that
14	joined in the communication, Houston writes to	14	ultimately was transferred to Barclays?
15		15	A. If they were in the repo, I believe
16	tell him? That the assets are not going to	16	they were transferred to Barclays because
17	Barclays?" Do you see that?	17	Barclays had possession of them. If they were
18	A. Uh-huh.	18	not in the repo, I believe they were not in.
19	Q. What do you understand Mr. Houston to	19	Q. Do you know if
20	mean when he writes to you that the assets	20	A. And again, this was a series of
21	"What should I tell them? That the assets	21	financings, so there was more than one Racers
22	aren't going to Barclays?"	22	Trust.
23	A. Barclays had specifically told	23	Q. Do you know if at any point the racers
24	representatives of Lehman Brothers that they	24	were taken out of the repo and other collateral
25	didn't want commercial real estate exposure.	25	was substituted for that?
	Page 136		Page 137
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	A. I don't know the answer to that.	2	does this e-mail chain in your mind address any
3	Q. Did you ever hear a discussion about	3	issue concerning whether the racers would stay
4	whether the racers could be taken out of the	4	in or not stay in the repo?
5	repo and other collateral substituted for them?	5	A. No.
6	A. No.	6	(Exhibit 318, a document bearing Bates
7	This, by the way, seems to indicate	7	Nos. 10325943 with attachment, marked for
8	that the racers with the Archstone pieces	8	identification, as of this date.)
9	were had custody at Chase, JPMorgan Chase.	9	Q. Mr. Kirk, I have put before you two
10	Q. I'm about to move on to Tonucci where	10	documents, one which we have marked as Exhibit
11	he says, "They are with Chase now." What does	11	318, a one-page document bearing numbers
12	that mean in context? How does it address the	12	10325943. Withdrawn.
13	problem that's being discussed in the e-mail?	13	MR. KELLEY: On our copy the comment
14	A. There was an assumption by Paul	14	at the far left is, on some pages, partially
15	Houston that Mike Mazzei was just fishing for	15	blocked out.
16	information about Archstone which may be	16	MR. GAFFEY: I don't have a copy of it
17	proprietary to Lehman Brothers that he would	17	yet. I see that.
18	like for purposes other than the deal. So he	18	MR. KELLEY: That's not a good 318.
19	was looking for a reason not to answer any	19.	MR. GAFFEY: Let me more clearly
20	questions about Archstone and because it	20	describe it for the record. Exhibit 318 is
21	wouldn't have been proper if their assets	21	actually a multi-page document bearing
22	weren't being transferred, and Paolo is	22	number 10325943, annexed to which is a
23	confirming that the assets would not be	23	schedule which I agree, David, is miscopied
	transformed because they're at Chase	24	

25

transferred because they're at Chase.

Q. Okay. So, with that answer in mind,

so that some of the numbers down the

left-hand side don't appear and there may

Page 139 Page 138 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 actually be some numbers cut off at the recall. 3 3 bottom, but I'm not going to ask any Q. Would you take a look at what's previously been marked as Exhibit 9, which is questions about the specific numbers. 4 4 5 BY MR. GAFFEY: 5 also before you. Same question: Have you seen 6 the document before? 6 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 318 before, 7 7 A. Yes. Mr. Kirk? 8 8 Q. And what is the document? A. Yes. 9 A. This is a schedule of CDs and 9 Q. And what is the document? 10 A. This is a schedule of residential 10 short-term money market instruments that were 11 available for sale. mortgage positions available for sale. 11 12 Q. Is this one of the schedules that we 12 Q. And again, the same question: Did you 13 spoke about before the break that were prepared 13 have this document, did you use this document in for the -- in connection with the Friday, the 14 connection with the work you were doing on 14 15 Friday project to see what assets could be 15 Friday, the 19th? 116 A. Yes. 16 transferred to Barclays? 17 O. And for what purpose did you use it? 17 MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and 18 A. The same -- to be particular, I don't 18 ambiguous. 19 know if this is the document that was produced 19 A. I don't know if this was in particular 20 for that, but it appears to be so. 20 that was part of the 45 billion or it was a 21 schedule that related to the 70 billion or it Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of 21 22 was something in between. I just don't know. 22 seeing this document on that Friday, on the 23 23 Q. Okay. 19th, in connection with the work you were doing 24 A. But all the documents that were 24 that day? produced were an attempt to get to the 25 A. I took a cursory review of it, as I 25 Page 141 Page 140 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 2 Q. You have before you, Mr. Kirk, a equivalent of what actually could be sold to 2 3 Barclays, this would have been one of them, and 3 two-page document that we have marked as Exhibit 319. Take a look through it. Let me know 4 4 to be able to deliver to the experts to value 5 whether you've seen the document before, please. 5 them. (Document review.) 6 6 Q. Okay. I may be retreading a little 7 7 bit a topic we addressed before the lunch break, A. Yes. 8 and forgive me for that, but I want to make sure 8 O. And what's the document? Well, it appears to be a set of e-mails sent to and fro 9 9 I have a clear understanding of your memory of 10 on the 19th of September. Do you recall seeing 0 this. this e-mail chain at or around the time that In this work on Friday to find 11 11 12 these e-mails are dated? additional assets, the 15c3 and the unencumbered .2 13 A. Yes. .3 assets, I asked you that question about the Q. And tell me what you remember about 14 . 4 broad spectrum, you know, just go find 15 the circumstances under which this is of . 5 everything or go find a defined amount. 16 interest to you -- withdrawn. 16 Was the formula that was used there, 17 Why is this chain of e-mails of 17 in essence, keep finding assets until Barclays interest to you in the work you're doing on the 18 L8 says that's enough? 19 19th? 19 MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and 20 A. Well, this is 5:30 in the afternoon. 20 ambiguous. Everybody's left for court. Jim Seery asks me 21 21 A. Again, I didn't -- I wasn't party to if I'm coming down to the courthouse. That's the discussion between Bart and Ian as to what 22 22 the first e-mail. I'm not planning on it. Do I 23 23 the specifics of that was. have to, i.e., do they need to be down there? (Exhibit 319, an e-mail chain, marked 24 24

25

for identification, as of this date.)

25

He replies no. We will be drinking later.

	Page 142		Page 143
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	I reply, "I'm here. I'm close to the	2	as the collateral that Barclays posted to the
3	building. How long will you guys be down	3	DTC?
4	there?" "Give you an idea after we start."	4	A. The collateral that they would have
5	"Sounds like hours." "What is the value of	5	held in the DTC account at Bank of New York,
6	collateral Barclays posted to the DTC today?" I	6	bank, you know, that they had taken over from
7	answered, "I believe it's 45 and a half."	7	the Fed, the repo.
8	Billion would be the number, would be the big	8	Q. Is that the collateral in the repo?
9	number. "I don't know the marked value,"	9	A. Yes.
		10	Q. And you put the value in your response
10 11		11	at 45.5 billion, correct?
12		12	A. Yes.
13		13	Q. And you're distinguishing in your
14		14	response the difference between the marked value
15		15	and what kind of value? The actual value? The
16	•	16	fair value?
17		17	A. Whatever the stated value of the
18		18	transfer was, which Barclays had indicated all
17 18 19 20	Q. Is it your understanding Mr. Seery is	19	day that they weren't sure what the actual value
20	down in court still?	20	of the collateral was, so that 45 was the stated
21	A. Yes.	21	value versus, as I said, I didn't know what the
21 22 23 24	Q. And Seery is writing to you to ask	22	marked value or the market value was.
23	what the value of the collateral was that	23	Q. Okay. Now
24	Barclays posted to the DTC. What do you	24	A. And it's probably meant to read
25	understand Seery to mean when he's describing it	25	"market." I probably just mistyped it.
	Page 144		Page 145
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	Q. Now, the	2	Objection.
3	I'm sorry, "marked," M-A-R-K-E-D, was	3	Q. You can answer.
4	supposed to read "market," is that what you	4	A. I assume there was a good reason he
5	A. Probably.	5	needed it. I don't know what it was.
6	Q. So the value of 45.5 that you referred	6	Q. Yeah, my question is a little
7	to here may go to a question I asked you before	7	different. Did there come a time when you ever
8	the break, which was whether or not you became	8	knew, either at the time or later, why Seery
9	aware of the value that was put on the repo	9	wanted to know this information from you?
10	collateral by Bank of New York?	10	A. No, because it was impossible to
11	A. I don't know if this is a number that	11.	communicate directly with him that evening.
12	was quoted to me by Barclays and it was a number	12	There was I didn't have a phone. I didn't
13	they had at by Bank of New York or not. I	13	have a conversation with him to have it
14	just don't recall.	14	explained to me.
15	Q. Do you have any recollection of what	15	Q. He's in the courthouse so he can't use
16	your source was for the \$45 and a half billion	16	his phone.
17	number in your e-mail?	17	It doesn't matter. You can't speak to
18	MR. HUME: Objection. Asked and	18	him by telephone?
19	answered.	19	A. It's chaos.
20	A. I don't remember.	20	Q. Now, did you ever learn, sir, what
21	Q. Did you ever learn why it was that	21	value was described to the court of the deal as
22	Seery was asking you this question from the	22	it stood on Friday?
23	courthouse?	23	A. No.
24	MR. KELLEY: Objection. Speculation.	24	(Exhibit 320, a document bearing Bates
25	MR. HUME: Calls for speculation.	25	Nos. 10293820, marked for identification, as

.3

Page	1	4	6
1090	_	_	$\sim$

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK of this date.)

- Q. Mr. Kirk, you have before you what I have marked as Exhibit 320, a two-page chain of e-mails. Have you seen this document before?
- A. I don't remember seeing this document, no.
- Q. Do you recall learning that -- have you had a chance to look through the document? Sorry.
  - A. I don't recall this.

L 0

1 2

Q. Okay. Do you recall any conversation -- take a look at the chain of e-mails. You see it ends, it discusses Barclays closing out the repo with Lehman and taking all of the assets collateral, asking, further up, Jean-Francois Astier asks, "Is that part of the plan?" You respond, "Call my cell."

Do you see that?

- A. Right, uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall a conversation with him about that topic?
- A. I don't recall speaking to JF about this specific topic.
  - Q. And JF is Mr. Astier?

Page 148

#### 1496 1

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK wrong, your understanding was at the time that Barclays is taking both the collateral reflecting the financing amount of the repo and the haircut; is that correct?

- A. I don't remember understanding what it was exactly that they had done.
- Q. Now, after writing back to JF, "Call my cell," he writes back to you, "I don't understand it either. Seery says it is okay. He is walking out to call you." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I take it at 11:18 P.M. -actually, 7:18 P.M., with the adjustment for
  Greenwich mean time, on the 19th of February,
  Mr. Seery is still down in the courthouse,
  correct?
- A. Yeah, they're all down there. JF is down there as well.
- Q. And Seery can't use his phone to call you from the courthouse, we've talked about that, and he says, "Seery is walking out to call you." Do you recall getting a phone call from Mr. Seery that evening?

Page 147

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. Jean-Francois Astier.
- Q. And do you recall the topic coming up, whether you remember speaking to JF about it or not, the topic being Barclays taking all the collateral in the repo?
- A. Without being refreshed by this e-mail, I didn't recall it.
- Q. Does this e-mail refresh your recollection as to whether or not the topic came up?
  - A. Somewhat.
  - Q. What do you remember about it?
- A. I think I remember knowing nothing about this being part of the plan or discussed prior to us going down to the courthouse.
- Q. And when you say you remember nothing about it being part of the plan, do you mean you didn't know one way or the other, or you thought it wasn't part of the plan? Or you thought it wasn't part of the plan?
- A. It was never discussed one way or another.
- Q. So your understanding was at the time that Barclays was taking, correct me if I'm

Page 149

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. Yes, but I don't recall what specifically the discussion was.
- Q. Do you recall anything about the discussion?
  - A. No.
- Q. But you do recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Seery?
- A. Yes, vaguely. This is refreshing my memory. He must have called me if he says he's going to.
- Q. Does it refresh your memory, as you look further at the document, that you got a call and somehow the topic was the repo?
- A. If it was, it wouldn't have been more beyond Jim just saying it's not a big deal, don't worry about it.
- Q. Jim saying it's that the issue, that the issue's not a big deal, or the fact that Barclays' taking the collateral is not a big deal?
- A. I think the issue, whatever that -- which is Barclays taking collateral, so it's one and the same.
  - Q. And after around that time, around

	Page 150		Page 151
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	7:18 P.M. on that Friday, did you have a	2	Jim would have called me about it.
3	discussion with anyone else about Barclays	3	Q. And just so I'm clear on that, because
4	taking the collateral that was in the repo at	4	you're gesturing at the document saying "Jim
5	any point?	5	would have," do you have a recollection
6	A. No.	6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. Did you talk to anybody about that	7	Q or are you just inferring that from
8	over the weekend?	8	the document?
9	A. No.	9	A. It's vague. I don't recall the
10	Q. Was there any conversation about that	10	specific conversation.
11	when you and Mr. McDade were at Weil in	11	Q. Okay.
12	connection with preparing for the closing?	12	A. But if it had been an issue, I'm sure
13	A. Not that I recall.	13	I would remember it.
1.4	Q. Do you know if the issue of Barclays	14	Q. Okay.
15	<b>9</b>	15	A. Since it wasn't.
16	9 , ,	16	Q. And from the discussions on Friday, it
17	*	17	was your understanding that if Barclays got
18	<b>3</b>	18	if this value collection effort gave Barclays
19		19	enough value to support the financing in the
20	8	20	repo, that Lehman would get the excess back,
21	2 2/0	21	right?
22		22	MR. HUME: Objection.
23		23	Mischaracterizes the testimony.
24	the comment of the same to be a	24	A. I don't
25	A. Except for a vague recollection that	25	MR. KELLEY: Do you want the question
	Page 152		Page 153
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	read back?	2	ambiguous and lack of foundation.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, why don't you read	3	A. In the end, I was the witness to an
4	the question back, please. I'm not quite	4	agreement that was agreed to between Bart and
5	sure exactly	5	Ian and the Barclays team, but I wasn't part of
6	(Record read.)	6	any discussions as to the basis for that
7	MR. HUME: Same objection.	7	agreement.
8	A. No, that discussion never took place.	8	Q. And the agreement that you were a
9	There was too much uncertainty about the values.	9 10	witness to is the one you described to me before where they said, do we have a deal?
10	Q. Was there, in connection with this	11	A. Yes.
11 12	value of additional profit we talked about on Friday, was there any discussion about what it	12	Q. And the agreement was we have a deal.
13	would take to get Barclays up to the value of	13	(Exhibit 321, a document bearing Bates
14	the financing in the repo?	14	Nos. AK-LB-BANKR00002 through 27, marked for
15	A. Not that I was present at. I don't	15	identification, as of this date.)
16	know if there were any away from me.	16	Q. Mr. Kirk, I have put before you a
17	Q. This sort of goes to a few other	17'	document bearing Bates number AK-LB-BANKR000002
18	questions I have asked you about, whether there	18	through 27. Do you recognize the document?
19	was a goal or a cap on how much had to be	19	A. Yes.
20	collected on Friday.	20	Q. What is it?
21	Without regard to what the number may	21	A. It's a notebook.
22	or may not have been, was the goal to find	22	Q. Is it your notebook?
23	enough assets to replace the loss in value	23,	A. Yes, my notebook.
24	within the repo?	24	Q. Is it in your handwriting?
25	MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and	25	A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 154

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Q. During the course of your work in connection with the Barclays matter, did you carry the same notebook from place to place? What was your habit with respect to taking notes?

MR. KELLEY: Objection. Do you understand the "Barclays matter."

THE WITNESS: What?

- Q. Withdrawn. Did you take these notes during the week of the 15th to the 22nd that we've been talking about today?
- A. From the 11th or -- 10th or 11th to the -- yeah.
- Q. Okay. Some of these notes may go back to the period before the weekend?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

L 0

1

.2

L 3

14

. 5

. 6

17

. 8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

L2

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is that what you're telling me?
- A Yes

MR. HUME: May I ask a question? Were these notes produced to Barclays by anyone.

MR. GAFFEY: I have no idea. They were produced by the witness pursuant to the subpoena.

Q. Are you able to tell me from looking

Page 155

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK at your notes, Mr. Kirk, when you took these notes?

- A. Some of them, yes.
- Q. Let's just take a minute. I don't want you to go through every page, but tell me how you're dating it because there's no dates on any of the pages.
- A. This looks like it would have been Friday, the 12th, first page.
- Q. Okay. And you're making that inference from the kind of notes you have here that's what was being discussed then?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Could you go, if you don't mind, maybe we can save you some time, if you would go through the notes and tell me at what point they pick up, if at all, on the events that begin on the Sunday night, the 15th. I meant Sunday, the 14th, I'm told.

(Document review.)

- A. Page 14.
- Q. Okay. And just so I can put it in some kind of context, what is it about page 14 that tells you this picks up on the night of

Page 156

Page 157

## **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK** Sunday, the 14th?

A. Because this is dealing with issues that we might have -- hold on one second. Hold on. I apologize. I'm wrong. Sorry. Hold on.

14 I'm not sure about. That may actually still have to do with the morning of attempting to get the Barclays deal done and how we would deal with that with Spinco. This reference to Shafron is what makes me think that it is because Steve was the point on Treasury with us. He works for Treasury.

- Q. So once I see Steve Shafir's name at page --
  - A. Shafron.
  - Q. Shafron, I see.
- A. Right. That indicates I'm still trapped at the Fed. Okay? There's notes here with the reference to tri-party repo labeled number 1 on page 16 is during our discussions with the Fed, and the following pages prior to filing but when they were encouraging us to file.
  - Q. Now, if you would turn to page --
  - A. So that's during that period of time.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Hold on. That goes through the 18. Yeah, I think that's through 18. Those are all notes from the meeting with the Fed and the Treasury representatives between 4 and 7 o'clock Sunday.

Q. Okay. Now, are the notes sequential after that? Can I -- I'm trying to get a course of dealing here. Can I kind of work on the assumption that we're at Sunday or after as I go through the rest of these notes?

I note that it's a spiral notebook, and that's one of the reasons I'm asking that question. Are you writing as you go?

A. I don't know that these are all in the same order, but let me just look. Sometimes you end up inadvertently skipping a page.

Yeah, these are -- these next through

23 are --

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- sequential.
- Q. Can we go to 23, please?
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's a list of what appear to be names on there, Chambers, Orlan, Assi, Seery, do you see that?

Page 158 Page 159 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 A. Yes. 2 funding. 3 3 Q. Anything to add? Any knowledge as to This second page is a -- is an 4 why you're listing those eight names there? 4 analysis that B of A did marking down our assets 5 A. I don't recall specifically. Some of 5 earlier in the week, which we also discussed at 6 6 these people I'm responsible for, some of them that meeting. B of A's valuation of Lehman 7 7 I'm not, so I don't recall exactly what this Brothers' assets. 8 8 list was -- why it's there. This would have Q. You can put that aside. I'm done with 9 9 been during the week, early in the week of the that document. 0 15th. 10 A. Okay. 11 .1 Q. And if you would turn to starting at (Exhibit 322, a document bearing Bates 2 12 page 24, it's the following four pages are --Nos. AK-LB-BANKR000028, marked for . 3 13 following three pages are a typewritten balance identification, as of this date.) 4 sheet of some kind. 114 Q. Before you, Mr. Kirk, is Exhibit 322, 15 .5 Are we in the same place? a one-page set of notes bearing Bates number 6 16 AK-LB-BANKR000028. Is that your handwriting? A. Yes. L 7 17 Q. And it's entitled Funding 2008 Q3 A. Yes. 8 -18 **Balance Sheet?** Q. Do you have any recollection of making 19 19 A. This was a schedule which was faxed to 20 20 Bart Saturday morning at the Fed that he and I A. Yeah, this was somebody trying to 21 used to prepare for a meeting with John Mack, 21 explain to me in broad terms what 15c3-3 was. 22 22 Vickram Pandit, John Thayne and their associates Q. Okay. I take it you're looking at the 23 23 to discuss the possible iterations of Lehman reference to customer accounts segregated in 24 24 Brothers going forward. This was those -- that margin in the box in the upper left-hand corner. 25 was the first -- well, that's the first pages of 25 A. I'm looking at the whole page, yes. Page 160 Page 161 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 Q. Do you know, these numbers on the settlements. 3 right-hand side, 1B and 1.7B, what do they 3 The dispute that arose on Sunday was 4 4 who was going to guarantee the settlements of represent? 5 5 A. I don't recall what they represent. the trades that were supposed to settlement on 6 6 (Exhibit 323, a document bearing Bates Lehman Brothers' account starting Monday 7 7 Nos. AK-LB-BANKR000030, marked for morning. 8 identification, as of this date.) 8 Q. And that dispute, broadly speaking, 9 9 Q. You have before you, Mr. Kirk, what we involved DTC needing some comfort as to who was 10 have marked as Exhibit 323, bearing Bates number 10 going to guarantee those settlements? 11 AK-LB-BANKR000030. Are these notes in your 11 A. Yes. 12 12 handwriting? Q. Now, on the notes themselves there is, 13 13 A. Yes. amidst the names and above the name Tom Hamilton 14 14 Q. Can you, as you look at them, do you and Harry Harnson - I'm just giving you that 15 15 for placement on the document. remember the circumstances under which you took 16 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 17 A. I think these were notes on Sunday Q. - is what appears to be an Assets and 18 18 during the closing. Liabilities column; is that right? 19 Q. And why is it you think they're notes 19 A. Yes. 20 on Sunday during the closing? 20 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what that 21 A. Because I see a reference to Alastair, 21 22 which would be Alastair Blackwell, who I didn't 22 A. To the extent that I understand it, it 23 23 represents some assets of 45 and a half billion, deal with prior to Sunday, and Tom Hamilton, who 24 was head of mortgage trading at Barclays, who 24 goodwill would be the next line of 250 million, was trying to deal with this issue of the 25 and then it had a loan from BarCap that says 45

Page 163 Page 162 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 correct? and a half, and then payables or trade payables, 3 3 or whatever they are, cure payments and comp of A. Yes. Q. And those were the assumed liabilities 4 2 and a quarter and 2 billion on it. 4 5 5 Q. Is that your understanding of the that had stayed in the deal from the first time 6 6 you saw it, right? balance sheet of the deal that was agreed on 7 7 A. This would have been -- yes, but I 8 MR. HUME: Objection. Lacks 8 don't know, I don't recall anybody warranting to 9 me what all these numbers were or if I was just 9 foundation. .0 A. I don't remember if this was -- this 10 scratching them down from a press release or... Q. Actually, I should ask you that. Do 11 was probably more a broad generalization than a 11 you recall the source? Is this something you're 12 specific. 12 13 reading? Something somebody is saying? . 3 Q. I recognize it's some handwritten A. I don't recall whether this was 14 notes and it's got scratches all over it, sir. 14 . 5 . 5 I'm not giving it any greater dignity than that, something somebody was saying or if I was . 6 but my question is, really goes to the 45.5 on . 6 reading a press release or a, you know, a 17 newspaper account or something. .7 the asset side and the 45.5 on the liability 18 O. Do you have any understanding with the . 8 side. 19 repo in mind of whether the agreement made on 19 A. I don't remember for this specific 20 Friday was that Barclays would get 45.5 out of document and why I was scratching this out that 20 21 21 the repo --22 22 Q. You do see on the liability side the MR. HUME: Objection. 23 amount for payables at 2.25 billion, correct? 23 MR. GAFFEY: You got to wait until I'm 24 24 done, Hamish. Yes. 25 MR. HUME: I thought you were. 2.5 And the amount for comp at 2 billion, Q. Page 165 Page 164 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 Q. Do you have any understanding with the 2 Q. They were supposed to balance? MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and 3 repo in mind of whether the agreement made on 3 Friday was that Barclays would get 45.5 out of 4 ambiguous as to what the values they had 4 5 5 the repo in return for 45.5 in funding? funded. 6 MR. HUME: Objection. Lack of 6 Q. Do you have the question in mind? Do foundation. 7 7 vou want it read? 8 A. I'm not sure, actually. They had A. No. No. I understand the question. 8 9 funded the firm for that amount on Thursday, so 9 I'm just saying there were lots of moving parts, 10 10 Ī --so I don't know that those were exactly supposed Q. I see the problem. Let me rephrase 11 to balance in that. 11 12 the question. You understood that Barclays had 12 Q. Was it your understanding they were 13 funded the firm for 45.5 the prior Thursday, 13 roughly supposed to balance? 14 MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and 14 correct? 15 15 A. Thursday or Friday, right. ambiguous.

. 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so ...

Q. Okay. And on the Friday, you understood that the deal had changed so that the collateral in the repo was what would be transferred to Barclays, correct?

A. Correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did these notes reflect your understanding that what would be transferred to Barclays was the amount in the repo sufficient to cover the amount they had funded?

MR. HUME: Objection.

equal to the amount that Barclays had funded?

A. Again, I don't -- I didn't have an

assets versus the repo. Once they went to

understanding of that. I didn't know how much

would be made up from the shortfall of other

court, I wasn't dealing with valuation issues,

Q. Apart from the source of assets to be

that what was to be given to Barclays was to be

given to Barclays, was it your understanding

	Page 166		Page 167
		7	
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	MR. HUME: Objection.	2	take out more than they had funded into the
3	MR. KELLEY: Objection. Speculation.	3	repo?
4	Misstates prior answers.	4	MR. KELLEY: Objection. That's based
5	MR. HUME: Vague and ambiguous as to	5	on speculation. Calls for speculation, I
6	valuation.	6	should say.
7	A. I didn't have that understanding.	7	THE WITNESS: So I
8	Q. Did you have	8	Q. You can answer that question.
9	A. Nowhere did anybody say they should be	9	MR. KELLEY: It just asks him to
10	equal.	10	identify the source of his knowledge.
11	Q. Okay. Did you have an understanding	11	MR. GAFFEY: No, I'm going to ask him
12	that Barclays was going to get more than it had	12	to answer the question I asked.
13	funded?	13	Will you read it back?
14	A. In terms of assets?	14	(Record read.)
15	Q. Yes.	15	A. I don't understand the nature of, when
16	A. Yeah, they were going to get assets	16	you say "take out more," what does that mean?
17	that were unencumbered.	17	Q. Barclays funds the repo to the tune of
18	Q. And when you added the unencumbered to	18	\$45.5 billion, you understand that, right?
19	the amount in the repo, was it your	19	A. Right.
20	understanding that Barclays was going to take	20	Q. In the asset transfer agreement that's
21	more out than it had funded into the repo?	21	at issue for the bankruptcy court, Barclays is
22	A. Well, they were also assuming other	22	going to take a certain amount of assets out of
23	liabilities away from the repo.	23	Lehman, correct?
24	Q. I understand that.	24	A. Yes.
25	Did you understand they were going to	25	Q. Was it your understanding that that
		3	
	Page 168	W	Page 169
1	Page 168 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	Page 169 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
1 2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1 2	
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into	2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.
2 3	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to	2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the
2 3 4	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague	2 3 4	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing
2 3 4 5	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in	2 3 4 5	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of
2 3 4 5 6	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague	2 3 4 5 6	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?
2 3 4 5 6 7	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.	234567	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close	23456789	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.	234567890	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the	2345678901	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed	23456789012	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?	234567890123	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.	2345678901234	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?	23456789012345	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase	234567890123456	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."	2345678901234567	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.	23456789012345678	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.  Q. And the assumed liabilities you're referring to are the assumed liabilities for	234567890123456789	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.  Q. And the assumed liabilities you're	2345678901234567890	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your understanding?  MR. HUME: Object to the form of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 9 2 1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.  Q. And the assumed liabilities you're referring to are the assumed liabilities for payable and comp in the amount of 4.25 billion, correct?	23456789012345678901	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your understanding?  MR. HUME: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 1 4 1 5 6 1 7 1 8 9 2 1 2 2 3 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.  Q. And the assumed liabilities you're referring to are the assumed liabilities for payable and comp in the amount of 4.25 billion, correct?  A. Correct, and then ultimately the	234567890123456789012	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your understanding?  MR. HUME: Object to the form of the question.  A. And the goodwill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 6 1 7 1 8 9 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK latter bucket of assets was to equal or to exceed the amount that Barclays had paid into the repo for funding?  MR. HUME: Again, objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the valuations posed in your question.  A. My understanding was the totality of the assets should attempt to get somewhere close to covering all the liabilities.  Q. The liabilities, you mean the liabilities in the repo plus the assumed liabilities?  A. Yes.  Q. Is that what you mean?  MR. HUME: Objection to the phrase "assumed liabilities."  A. Yes.  Q. And the assumed liabilities you're referring to are the assumed liabilities for payable and comp in the amount of 4.25 billion, correct?	2345678901234567890123	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Sunday.  Q. Okay. And do you know what the resolution was of the issue of guaranteeing the dollar resolution was of the guarantee of the trading liabilities?  A. I don't recall specifically, but they agreed to I think fund into DTC some amount of cash to make good on the liabilities. I don't remember what the number was.  Q. Do you recall that the number was about \$250 million?  A. Sounds reasonable.  Q. Okay. So Barclays is to take out of the deal an amount roughly in balance to the amount in the repo, the assumed liability for comp, the assumed liability for payables, and the guarantee to the DTC; is that your understanding?  MR. HUME: Object to the form of the question.  A. And the goodwill.  Q. And the goodwill. And the goodwill is

	Page 170		Page 171
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	buying the business of Lehman Brothers and they	2	transaction was supposed to give Barclays a gain
3	were buying a whole bunch of assets which had,	3	on day one?
4	in my opinion, quite uncertain value so that	4	A. No.
5	they could lose many billions of dollars or make	5	Q. I hear what you're saying about longer
6	many billions of dollars on those assets given	6	term they may operate the business and make
7	the volatility of the markets, and in addition	7	money out of it. The question about day one, I
8	to that, they would obviously get the ongoing	8	want to be sure we're
9	operations of Lehman Brothers.	9	A. Yeah.
10	Q. Was it your understanding that	10	Q hearing each other here. Was it
11	A. So that it was	11	your understanding that there was any immediate
12	Q. Sorry.	12	gain embedded for Barclays in the deal that was
13	A. What I would say is that, in my	13	made on Friday?
14	opinion, there was, at least from my seat, no	14	MR. HUME: Objection. Lacks
15	way to know what the actual value of those	1.5	foundation.
16	assets would end up being for Barclays, you	16	A. No, there was no understanding on my
17	know, over because I had no idea what	17	part that there was a gain.
18	strategies they were going to pursue about those	18	(Recess; Time Noted: 2:10 P.M.)
19	assets, whether they were going to hedge them,	19	(Time Noted: 3:15 P.M.)
20	you know, all the variety of things that they	20	(Exhibit 324, a document bearing Bates
21	might end up choosing to do to execute a large,	21	Nos. AK-LB-BANKR0000987 through 119, marked
22	huge block of the size of a transfer of this	22	for identification, as of this date.)
23	size of assets is, you know, enormous and at the	23	BY MR. GAFFEY:
24	time maybe even unprecedented.	24	Q. Mr. Kirk, you have before you what we
25	Q. Was it your understanding that the	25	have marked as Exhibit 324, a document bearing
	Page 172		Page 173
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	Bates number AK-LB-BANKR000097 through 119. Do	2	A. That could be.
3	you recognize the document?	3	Q. Would you understand the phrase "ACT"
4	A. Yes.	4	to mean actual?
5	Q. What is the document?	5	A. That's not usually a vernacular, but
6	A. This is a document that describes the	6	maybe.
7	deal that was cut early in the week between	17	Q. I asked you
8	Lehman and Barclays.	8	A. It could be "account."
9	Q. Is that your handwriting on the	9	Q. Could be. I don't know what your
10	document?	10	A. Yeah. Yeah.
11	A. No.	11	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if
11 12	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on</li></ul>	11 12	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut
11 12 13	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?	1 1 1 1 3	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	11 12 13	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the
11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I would note that the document was</li> </ul>	123	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to
11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came</li> </ul>	12 3 4 5 6	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know what this is.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document? A. No. Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory? A. No, I don't know what this is. Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the	11 12 13 14 15 17 19 20	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.  Q. Could you put before you Exhibit 19?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document? A. No. Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory? A. No, I don't know what this is. Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the annotations mean on the asset side where	11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 21	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.  Q. Could you put before you Exhibit 19? It's within the pile.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document? A. No. Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory? A. No, I don't know what this is. Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the annotations mean on the asset side where somebody has written "ACT" and "HC"?	11 12 14 15 16 7 19 21 22 22	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.  Q. Could you put before you Exhibit 19? It's within the pile.  A. What does it look like?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document? A. No. Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory? A. No, I don't know what this is. Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the annotations mean on the asset side where somebody has written "ACT" and "HC"? A. No.	11 12 14 15 17 18 20 21 22 23	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.  Q. Could you put before you Exhibit 19?  It's within the pile.  A. What does it look like?  Q. It's the other copy of that financial
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Do you know whose handwriting it is on the document? A. No. Q. I would note that the document was produced to us by you. Do you know how you came into possession of this document with somebody else's handwriting on it? Any memory? A. No, I don't know what this is. Q. Do you have any knowledge of what the annotations mean on the asset side where somebody has written "ACT" and "HC"?	11 12 14 15 16 7 19 21 22 22	Q. I asked you earlier this morning if you had any knowledge of a discount or a haircut being given to Barclays on the book value of the assets being traded for in the early part of the week. Does this refresh your recollection as to whether there was an agreement on the deal as it originally was made on Monday and Tuesday to give Barclays a discount from book value?  A. No.  Q. Could you put before you Exhibit 19? It's within the pile.  A. What does it look like?

1.2

Page 174 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Q. I'm just going to take a fly around this just because I wonder if you know.

This document, if you compare it to Exhibit 19, that is, Exhibit 324 is identical to Exhibit 19, except for two things: One is the handwritten note in the upper right-hand corner in 19 and the other is the time stamp in the lower right-hand corner.

You see one is generated 11:18 and one is generated at 11:19?

A. Okay.

.0

1 2

- Q. Do you have any knowledge of how this schedule was being generated or who was generating it?
- A. No, I don't even know why I had this document. Whatever documents I had I gave to David, he gave to you.
- Q. Okay. I have nothing further at this time.

Oh, sorry, I lied. I have one more. (Exhibit 325, a document bearing Bates Nos. AK-LB-BANKR000188, marked for identification, as of this date.)

A. Okay.

Page 175

Q. Before you, Mr. Kirk, is a one-page document from amongst the documents that you produced, and its number is AK-LB-BANKR0000188.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recognize the document?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the first e-mail in the series, the earlier one, is from you saying, "Today is my last day at BarCap/Lehman. I want to thank everyone for all their help over the years," and you give your contact information, do you see that?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And the next e-mail up is a response from Mr. McGee to you, do you see that?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Where he says, "You are a talent and a good guy. You were amazing through the final months. I am sorry we didn't pull it off. Best of luck." Do you see that?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you know what Mr. McGee was referring to when he said, "I'm sorry we didn't pull it off"?

Page 176 Page 177

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK** 

A. Meaning that Lehman didn't survive and thrive as an independent entity.

MR. GAFFEY: Okay. Now I have nothing further. Thanks.

I have to pass you down to my friends. EXAMINATION BY

MR. ROTHMAN:

- Q. Good afternoon. My name is Seth Rothman. We met earlier. I represent the trustee who's been appointed under the SIPA statute to liquidate LBI.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. If you would dig out of the pile of exhibits in front of you number 322. These are your handwritten notes, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In the upper left-hand corner at the top of the page, there's a box that's divided in two. It says "Customer Account Seg" in the top?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Correct? And then underneath it says "Margin." Can you tell me what that represents?
- A. Okay. My recollection was somebody was trying to explain to me how the 15c3 margin

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK worked, and it was, my recollection from reviewing this document, it was different than between high-net worth individuals and Neuberger Berman, so that's why there's four boxes instead of two.

I don't remember the distinct difference, but the customer accounts, the individual customer accounts in the high-net-worth business were held in individual accounts. In each of them there was some margin that Lehman had to support those accounts. It was regulatory -- required by regulation.

- Q. Are you familiar with the concept of a margin deposit to cover short positions?
  - A. I'm familiar with that concept.
- Q. Is that what you were referring to here when you write margin?
- A. I don't know if it was that or if there were other margin requirements that were required by the regulators. I don't know if it was specifically that or if it was overall margin or if the margin is not required on others.
  - Q. Over on the right it looks like you

Page 178 Page 179 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 wrote 500, is that million? 2 A. I don't recall. 3 A. It looks like that, yeah. 3 Q. You can put that aside. 4 Q. Do you know if that relates to the 4 A. Okay. 5 margin box on the left? 5 Q. And you mentioned that there was a 6 A. It appears to, but none of these 6 dispute involving the DTC. Do you recall that? 7 numbers add up in those columns over there, so 7 A. Yes. 8 I'm not sure what it refers to exactly. 8 Q. That arose on Sunday while you were up 9 Q. Do you recall any discussions 9 at Weil? 10 concerning the margin deposit that LBI had with 10 A. Yes. 11 the OCC? 11 Q. When did you become aware of that 12 A. With the? 12 dispute? At what point during the day? 13 13 Q. OCC. A. It would have been somewhere, sometime 14 A. Who's that? What's that? The office 14 between 10 and 2, 10 A.M. and 2 P.M. 15 of --15 Q. And how did you become aware of that? 16 16 Q. The Options Clearing --A. I don't recall who told us it was an 17 17 A. Oh, no. issue, but someone informed Bart and I in the 18 Q. -- Corp. 18 room that there was an issue with the 19 A. Those typically would have been equity 19 settlements and those obligations going forward. 20 20 options positions. I assume would have been Q. So you yourself weren't involved or 21 mostly equity, so it was not my bailiwick. I 21 participating directly in any of those 22 was not involved in that. 22 discussions? 23 Q. In your work on the transaction, did 23 A. We were informed there was an issue. 24 you hear any discussions about whether margin 24 We were informed that it -- a big piece of the 25 deposits would be transferred to Barclays? 25 issue had to do with TBAs, mortgage trades, and Page 181 Page 180 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 government securities in terms of what was 2 between JPMorgan and Barclays. We were not 3 3 settling. given details, but I do recall one detail we 4 So I was involved only to the extent 4 were given was that they had agreed to guarantee 5 that I tracked down through Kaushik Amin and 5 the trades. They had gotten enough information 6 6 Jeff Michaels, who ran agency and government and the DTC was comfortable to settle trades the 7 7 bond trading, to get him in touch with the next day. We couldn't open for business with 8 8 mortgage people at Barclays to explain to them this cash deposit. 9 9 how what the market risk in those positions and Q. When you say they had agreed to . 0 settlement risk in those positions would be. 10 guarantee the trade? 11 So I set up a call. I reached Jeff 11 A. Barclays. 2 12 Michaels on the phone, I tracked him down, and Q. And did you understand the guarantee 13 .3 then I got him to speak to the Barclays to be \$250 million or --4 representatives by phone. I didn't participate 14 A. I don't know if -- I remember the . 5 15 in those conversations. amount, that amount being discussed as being put 6 Q. Who is Jeff Michaels? 16 as a deposit. I don't remember if there were 17 additional parts of the guarantee that were 7 A. Head of government agency trading at 8 18 Lehman Brothers in the U.S. -- globally, I described beyond that. There might have been. 9 19 Q. Did you hear that there was a concern, 20 20 any concern on the part of the DTC that it might Q. You also mentioned in response to 21 Mr. Gaffey's questions a guarantee relating to 21 be exposed if the trades didn't settle? 22 22 this issue. A. I remember that being discussed at

23

24

25

23

24

A. We were informed that the issue had

was a, what I referred to as a global settlement

been settled later in the day along with there

some point in the day as the reason as to why

all the DTC trades had failed on Friday, and DTC

was concerned that if they reopened, they would

Page 182 Page 183 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 be assuming all kinds of risks from failed 2 be used? 3 3 trades on Friday and in addition to the trades A. No. 4 going forward on Monday, and that they wanted 4 Q. Changing the subject. You mentioned 5 5 some -- they needed a margin safety to be able back on September 14 that Mr. McDade told you 6 to do that. So they were concerned that they 6 that the first transaction that was being 7 were exposed. 7 discussed with Barclays wasn't going to go 8 8 Q. And did you hear anything about the forward. Do you remember that? 9 extent of that exposure? 9 A. Yes. .0 A. No. 10 Q. And I think you said he told you that 11 11 the FSA had turned down the application to close Q. Do you know if the deposit or the 12 guarantee was meant to cover the entire 12 that transaction? 13 13 A. Yes. potential exposure? 14 14 A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did he tell you why? 15 Those discussions happened directly, that is, my 15 A. Yes, that Barclays needed a waiver to 16 6 guarantee the trading obligations -- I'm sorry, understanding those discussions happened 17 17 Barclays -- for Lehman to -- for them to close directly between DTC and Barclays. 18 the transaction, they would have to get a 18 Q. Do you know if there was a written 19 19 shareholder vote, which would take some period agreement? 20 20 of time, I forget if it was 30 or 45 days, but A. I don't know that. 21 some reasonable period of time, and that it was 21 Q. You never saw a written agreement 22 22 resolving this dispute with the DTC? the view of all the participants, including 23 Treasury and the Fed and everybody, that Lehman 23 A. No. No. 24 would not make it for 30 days without somebody 24 Q. Did you hear anything about what would 25 25 happen to the guarantee if it turned out not to else guaranteeing the trading obligations for Page 184 Page 185 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 2 2 that period of time. A. No, not in that meeting. 3 3 Q. Did you hear that at another meeting? The only person who would do that was A. No, I didn't hear it in any meeting. 4 Barclays. Barclays apparently needed a waiver 4 5 of shareholder approval to make that guarantee, 5 When you say no, you don't think it 6 6 happened or you don't remember? which the FSA deemed they would not give. 7 7 A. No, I didn't hear it. Didn't Q. Okay. Let me now switch topics again 8 happen -- that was not discussed in front of me. 8 and take you back to the Friday meeting that you 9 9 testified that I think you said started around 3 This was what day again? This was the 10 .0 19th? o'clock on Friday afternoon? 11 Q. On Friday, the 19th. 11 A. Uh-huh. 12 12 Q. This is the meeting that you had with, A. Friday, yes, no. 13 Q. You had a meeting --13 among others, Mr. Klein, Mr. Diamond and 14 14 Mr. Keegan from Barclays? . 5 15 Q. - late in the afternoon where - this A. Uh-huh. 16 Q. You have to give me an out-loud 16 is late in the afternoon where I think you said 17 17 this is when Barclays came back and said they answer --18 thought the value of the securities didn't match 18 A. Yes. 19 19 the value of the loan and so they were looking Q. -- for the court reporter. Thanks. Was Mr. McDade physically present in 20 20 for additional unencumbered assets? 21 that meeting or is he on the phone? 21 A. Uh-huh. 22 22 A. He's on the phone. Q. Is that right? 23 23 Q. And were there any discussions about Yes. And Mr. Gaffey asked you a couple of 24 that during that meeting about having to go back 24 25 times about whether they gave a target or a 25 and explain the deal to the Barclays board?

Page 186 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 number for how much more they would need? 3 3 A. Yes. 4 4 O. Right? 5 5 As best you can recall, they didn't 6 give you such a number, correct; is that right? 6 7 A. That's correct. 7 8 8 O. And nobody -- Mr. Klein didn't say 9 anything about having to have a certain number 9 10 to go back to the Barclays board? 10 11 11 12 12 Q. I'm going to mark as my only exhibit 13 as 326 an e-mail from you to Mr. McDade. 13 14 (Exhibit 326, an e-mail chain, marked 14 15 for identification, as of this date.) 5 16 6 A. Right. 17 17 Q. Do you recall sending this e-mail to 18 Mr. McDade? . 8 19 19 A. I do recall that. 20 20 Q. So the bottom e-mail on the page is 21 21 from you to Mr. McDade. It's sent on that 22 22 Friday at 3:39. 23 23 A. Uh-huh. 24 24 Q. Do you see that? 25 25 A. Yes. Page 188 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 1 2 about two days before. 2 3 So my primary concern at that point 3 4 4 was that there be as much flexibility, so to 5 5 speak, at least give the -- enough operating room that we wouldn't go into court, have the 6 6 7 7

Page 187

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

O. You write to Mr. McDade. "Rich Ricci just told me he won't blow up this trade by being a pig."

## Do you recall the context for that?

A. Well, the Barclays team had left. Bart was on the road and he was having discussions with Ian about whatever additional assets there were. You know, I implored to these guys that they shouldn't blow this deal up, 10,000 jobs were at stake, you know, there was a tremendous amount of pressure on all of us, and Rich said to me something to this effect: I won't blow the deal up by being too piggish.

I wanted to make sure Bart knew that because they were wrapping up with he and Ian whatever issues there were, so that's why I sent the e-mail.

## Q. Was there a concern on the Lehman said that the Barclays people were being too piggish?

A. I was concerned that we were going to go into bankruptcy court, which there's always uncertainty, and try to describe a deal that didn't look like the deal that they had heard

Page 189

transaction denied, and have to put the padlocks on the building Saturday morning.

So -- and I've been around many, many bankruptcy cases over two decades. Wildly uncertain things happen in these courts in circumstances.

Q. Sorry. Is that what you meant by "blow up the trade"?

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. That the bankruptcy court wouldn't approve the deal?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you were, just so I understand your testimony, you were concerned that that might happen if Barclays was too piggish?

A. Yeah, I think there were a myriad of risks that could have done, you know, I mean, the -- the stress of that not happening that evening and you only had one shot at it, you

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK know, it was -- certainly everybody was very

concerned that this was sort of a do-or-die situation, literally.

Q. Was the comment about being a pig related to the effort to find unencumbered assets?

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you didn't -- so you don't have, since that's in Mr. Lowitt's bailiwick, you don't have a specific number or value that would --

A. No, this comment had been made to me. I just wanted to pass it along.

Q. And then Mr. McDade asks you back, "Are the shorts all gone?" What was that a reference to? Did you have an understanding as to what he meant?

A. I don't recall specifically why he asked that, but that was a problem we were dealing with all week at Lehman, that we were naturally getting longer every day because our hedges were either derivatives that had been wiped out by the terms of their contracts or short positions that were being bought in on the

Page 190

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK other side.

So, you know, asset prices were imploding and we were naturally getting longer every day. So his question was how long is the firm, so to speak, at this moment in time. I believe -- I don't -- so that's what I -- I guess I tracked that down.

## Q. And that's what your answer to Mr. McDade says?

A. Yeah. Yeah.

MR. ROTHMAN: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

**EXAMINATION BY** 

MR. TECCE:

LΟ

L2

.3

. 5

.7

Q. Mr. Kirk, my name is James Tecce. I'm an attorney at Quinn Emanuel. We are special counsel to the Creditors Committee. I just wanted to ask you a couple of follow-up questions.

Going back to Friday, September 19th, I believe it is, I think that you had said that it was your belief on that day that JPMorgan Chase would be "hostile," I believe was the word

Page 192

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK DTC account. So I don't remember whether they had closed -- I learned about it all on Friday. I just don't remember if it was for that day or it was for the previous day.

# Q. Do you know what the basis -- do you have an understanding of the basis of Mr. Lowitt's statements that Chase had been taking collateral?

A. Well, he was dealing with them directly, so they were -- my understanding was that they were threatening to not clear our transactions without additional collateral prior to the bankruptcy.

## Q. Did he provide any examples of that to you?

A. The one example that he provided was that they requested Thursday night, the 11th -- is that right? Yeah, the 11th, that Lehman deliver another \$5 billion to them prior to the opening on Friday.

Q. Going forward to the 21st, I believe Sunday, the day of the closing, September 21, you had just spoken briefly about the dispute regarding the guarantee of trades with the DTC. Page 191

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK that you used, with respect to the transaction. What was the basis for that belief?

A. The fact that they had shut our DTC account down was one issue. The other issue was that Barclays had described to me they were having a dispute with JPMorgan about the transfer of collateral. In addition to that, JPMorgan had been, my -- my understanding, it had been described to me by Ian that JPMorgan over time prior to the bankruptcy had been squeezing a lot of additional collateral out of Lehman Brothers along the way.

## Q. Do you have an understanding as to when JPMorgan froze the DTC account?

A. You know, it was either Thursday or Friday. I don't remember. I think it was -- I'm pretty sure it was Friday, but it may have been Thursday.

## Q. And when were you told about the dispute between Chase and Barclays that you described?

A. Friday morning.

Q. Going forward --

A. And that's when I learned about the

Page 193

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Were you aware of any other disputes besides that issue between Chase and Barclays at that time?

A. They were still arguing about the transfer of collateral, your clients were there for some of those arguments, in a, what I would refer to as a giant conference room with 50 people in it and a lot of yelling.

## Q. Right. And do you remember the substance of those disputes regarding the collateral?

A. JPMorgan felt as if Barclays should pay them and take additional collateral to complete the repo transfer from the Fed, and in addition to that, they actually asked for Barclays to buy additional collateral even beyond that that they had gotten from Lehman Brothers earlier.

## Q. And do you have an understanding as to what the resolution of that dispute was?

A. No, they wouldn't tell us.

Q. Do you have an understanding of anyone at Lehman who does know the resolution of that dispute?

Page 194

## Page 195

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

A. I don't know if anybody at Lehman does know that.

2.0

L 0

## Q. Do you know if Mr. McDade knows the answer?

A. I don't know that. I was in the room when they told us they wouldn't tell us. I don't know if there were conversations after that.

## Q. Do you have an understanding if Mr. Klein knows the resolution of the dispute?

A. I don't know that he knows it. He probably does.

# Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether or not the resolution of that dispute resulted in any additional assets being transferred or liabilities being assumed by Barclays in connection with the transaction?

A. I don't know the answer to that. This was a delivered to us as a fait accompli and, you know, at 2 I went home. There may have been somebody dealing with transfers of assets and have some insight into that. Ian might have insight into it, you know, what happened past

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK have because, you know, there may not be -- I don't think they would necessarily have had to have involved Lehman in any transfer of assets between JPMorgan and Barclays. They may have. They may not have. I don't know.

(Exhibit 327, an e-mail chain dated September 21, 2008, marked for identification, as of this date.)

## Q. I'm handing the witness an Exhibit 327, an e-mail dated 9/21/2008.

A. Uh-huh.

## Q. Have you ever seen this document before?

A. Yes.

#### O. What is it?

A. This is a series of e-mails between myself and Jeff Michaels, who I described earlier was global head of government and agency trading, and who I was -- reached to deal with directly with the -- his counterparties at Barclays to describe what the settlement issues going forward were in his business so they could get some sense of what the risk of those settlement issues were.

Page 196

Page 197

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Q. And this, again, correct me if I'm wrong, this is the issue of the settling of the trades involving the DTC?

that in terms of the closing, but he may not

A. Yes.

Q. And just going up to the e-mail Sunday September 21, 18:13:18, do you see that? I believe it's the second e-mail down from the top of the page.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And Mr. Michaels asks you, I believe, "Okay, here is where we are." He says, "We had a problem with Chase on Friday re about 8B of collateral. They are the ones holding up this transaction. Chase holds the cards and Alastair and Beth are involved."

## What's your understanding of what Mr. Michaels is saying in that e-mail?

A. He's certainly saying that JPMorgan Chase is acting as a hostile party. They're holding up the transaction. There's a dispute on Friday. I don't know what exact dispute he's referring to because there were lots of disputes with JPMorgan at this point in time. They had shut our DTC account down. They had taken the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK DTC deposit from us. They were having a dispute with Barclays at the same time. And you know, Alastair, Alastair Blackwell, as head of operations, and Beth I think it's Rudofker who he's referring to, I assume who is a lawyer, are, you know, involved in trying to settle this position.

Why I asked him how many treasuries was he short in his position I don't recall.

Q. What are treasuries?

A. Government bonds.

Q. And let's actually just go back to his -- to Mr. Michaels e-mail. He says about 8 billion of collateral. Do you know whether -- do you have an understanding as to whether or not that was Fed repo collateral or other collateral?

A. I don't recall what collateral that was. There were a couple disputes with JPMorgan, so ...

Q. And the treasuries, what portion of the assets transferred were the treasuries, what basket of the assets transferred would the treasuries have fallen into? Would they have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 198

#### 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK been part of the Fed repo or would they have been part of the, quote/unquote, unencumbered?

A. The issues that I'm discussing with him here are settlements. So these are going forward -- they're not actually positions. They're going-forward settlements between Lehman and other counterparties that Lehman's standing in the middle buying and selling all kind of securities. So that the positions were

This would have reflected what risk there would be in settlements not settling in a normal way. So that -- but to answer your question, I don't -- I don't remember what the percentage of treasuries were in this. I wasn't dealing with him on any -- on that issue.

MR. TECCE: Those are the only questions I have. Thank you for your time. MR. HUME: I have just a few

questions.

**EXAMINATION BY** 

BY MR. HUME:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

LO

11

L2

13

L5

L 6

17

L 8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

reflected.

Q. Mr. Kirk, my name is Hamish Hume. We met before. I represent Barclays.

Mr. Kirk, during the week of September 15 to September 22, 2008 that you've been questioned about, did you believe there was any other viable purchaser of Lehman Brothers other than Barclays?

A. No.

- Q. Did you believe there was any other alternative for Lehman Brothers other than the **Barclays acquisition?** 
  - A. Liquidation.
- Q. Did you believe the Barclays acquisition was the best outcome for the Lehman estate for all stakeholders?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. During the questioning earlier in the deposition, you were asked -- you testified about discussions with Mike Keegan about valuation of assets, do you recall that, generally?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I think at one point you were explaining that Mr. Keegan complained about certain asset values and that you at some point huddled with Ian Lowitt and Bart McDade to

Page 200

Page 201

Page 199

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK discuss whether there was any good basis for disagreeing with Mr. Keegan's concerns. Do you recall describing that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. I believe you testified that the three of you concluded there wasn't really a strong basis for disagreeing with Mr. Keegan. Do you recall that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. During those discussions, were you attempting to negotiate the best deal you could for Lehman Brothers?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe you participated in arm's length negotiations or that you witnessed arm's length negotiations?
- A. I'll be clear about that. I wasn't actually negotiating and I wasn't -- I had no authority to negotiate. I believe we were participating in arm's length negotiations, Lehman was, with Barclays.
- Q. You testified earlier in the 24 deposition that you did not have an understanding regarding whether there would be a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK gain at Barclays on day one; do you recall that line of questioning?

- A. Yes.
- O. Did you have any knowledge about how Barclays would account for this transaction on its balance sheet?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you give any thought or analysis to how Barclays would account for the transaction on its balance sheet during the negotiations?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any knowledge of whether Barclays would be required to record an intangible asset in excess of a billion dollars on its balance sheet?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did I understand your testimony earlier that you believed many of the assets being transferred to Barclays were of uncertain value?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And were many of those assets going to take some time to value?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you understand the liabilities for cure payments and compensation to be estimated liabilities?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you understand that Barclays was stepping into the shoes of Lehman with respect to its exchange-traded derivative accounts?
- A. I was not aware of any agreements around the derivatives.
- Q. You weren't involved one way or the other with derivatives?
- A. I wasn't involved one way or the other.
- Q. Did you generally understand that both the assets and the liabilities Barclays was taking over were uncertain and difficult to value as of the time of the transaction?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Therefore, as of the time of the transaction, was it in your mind possible that after Barclays had taken the time to value the assets and the liabilities in accordance with its own methodology and accounted for the

Page 202

Page 203

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

transaction under its own accounting methodologies, that it would be possible that it record either a gain or a loss on the transaction --

MR. GAFFEY: Objection.

Q. -- as of day one?

MR. GAFFEY: Object to the form.

Q. Do you understand the question? MR. GAFFEY: You can ignore me.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. GAFFEY: He can't, but you can. THE WITNESS: Okay. I never know with these objections if I'm supposed to ignore them or not.

I think it was completely a matter of -- I had no idea what their accounting issues were on any of those fronts, so I was not aware of that, and whether they would end up recording a gain or loss over time would depend upon market conditions, hedging strategies, you know, disposition strategies, et cetera, that I had no insight into how they were going to execute them.

Q. I understand that. I think you said

Page 204

Page 205

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

that earlier. I'm saying, wholly apart from what would happen over time, after Barclays took the time to actually value the assets and liabilities as they were valued on day one, given the uncertainties in both the assets and values that Barclays took on and the limitations on what you knew about derivatives and other assets, was it in your mind at least possible that Barclays would record either a gain or a loss on day one, as of day one of the transaction?

> MR. GAFFEY: Objection to form. MR. ROTHMAN: Objection to form.

- A. Yes, it was possible.
- Q. Mr. Kirk, you testified a little bit about the transfer of collateral when Barclays replaced the Federal Reserve's lending position on the repo transaction, do you recall that, generally?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Were you aware of that when Barclays advanced its \$45 billion in cash to replace the Federal Reserve, Barclays did not receive the same collateral that had been pledged by Lehman

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK to the Federal Reserve in its repo?

- A. I was not aware of that.
- Q. Were you aware of the operational difficulties that arose when the Fed collateral was released into the Lehman clearing account so that some of that collateral disappeared or was tucked into other transactions and, therefore, could not be transferred to Barclays?
- A. I was not aware of any specifics of those issues. I was aware there was a -- some dispute.
- Q. I'd like to refer you very quickly to Exhibit 321.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. The third-to-last page with the Bates number, number in the bottom corner, number 25.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Which I believe you testified reflected write-downs that were being discussed or considered by Bank of America in the potential Bank of America transaction; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Was this done during that weekend

	Page 206	0000	Page 207
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
ı		8	
2 3	before the bankruptcy when you were at the Fed?  A. This was done I believe the Friday,	2 3	it with John Mack, Vickram Pandit and John
l		á	Thayne and their teams.
5	December September 12th.	4	Q. You testified earlier about the
ļ	Q. And I don't know if you testified or	5	unencumbered collateral in the clearance boxes
6	not, but whose handwriting is this on this page?	6	that Ian Lowitt identified as assets to be
7	A. I don't know whose handwriting this	7	transferred, do you recall that?
8	is. It's not mine.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Was the general idea that Bank of	9	Q. And you testified that there was an
10		10	agreement that those assets would be transferred
11		11	made on the Friday, September 19?
12		12	A. Yes.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Is that right?
14	Q. And was that information shared with	14	A. Correct.
15	9	15	Q. You also testified that you were aware
16		16	of an issue over the weekend relating to the
17	1	17	DTC's desire for some support for settlement
18	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18	obligations on the Monday, correct?
19	A. I wasn't there when they shared it,	19	A. Correct.
20	this information.	20	Q. And your general understanding was
21	•	21	Barclays agreed to deposit \$250 million to
22		22	address those settlement obligations?
23	A. Because we got this delivered to us at	23	A. Yes.
24		24	Q. Did anyone at any time ever tell you
25	think Bart gave a copy to Shafron and we shared	25	or lead you to believe let me withdraw that
	Page 208	COMMUNICATION CO	Page 209
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
2	and say it differently.	2	viable purchasers then?
3	Did you at any time form an	3	MR. HUME: Object to the form. Calls
4	understanding at any time that weekend or that	4	for speculation.
5	Monday, the 22nd, did you ever form an	5	A. I think the there were we were
6	understanding that the unencumbered collateral	6	open to a transaction with anybody, so if
7	in the clearance boxes that Ian Lowitt had	7	somebody was willing to take that kind of risk,
8	identified to be transferred in the transaction	8	I assume they would have showed up.
9	were not going to be transferred in the	9	Q. Do you think they would have shown up
10	transaction because of the way in which Barclays	10	if they were not told there was a \$5 billion
11	was dealing with the DTC settlement issue?	11	haircut embedded in the transaction?
12	MR. ROTHMAN: Objection to the form.	12	MR. KELLEY: Objection. Calls for
13	MR. GAFFEY: Join.	13	speculation.
14	MR. TECCE: Join.	14	A. There was no certainty what that
15	A. No.	15	number was.
16	Q. That was never your understanding?	16	Q. If, in addition to whatever else was
17	A. No.	17	said about the deal publicly, it was also said
18	MR. HUME: No further questions.	18	that there was a \$5 billion haircut embedded in
19	EXAMINATION BY	19	the transaction, do you think there would have
20	MR. GAFFEY:	20	been other viable purchasers, do you know?
21	Q. Just one or two. Your view that there	21	MR. KELLEY: Objection. Calls for
22	was no other viable purchaser, would that view	22	speculation.
23	have changed if there were a \$5 billion	23	Â. I don't know.
24	immediate gain embedded in the transaction?	24	Q. Are you able to say one way or the
25	Would you have had the view there might be	25	other?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 210

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

A. Not really.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

11

2

.3

. 5

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

. 1

.2

. 3

4

5

. 6

.7

18

19

20

21

2

23

24

- Q. Okay. Do you know the basis of the estimated liabilities? You told Mr. Hume that you knew that the liabilities for comp and cure were estimated in some way. Do you know the basis for the estimation?
- A. I assume that the basis was -- I don't know the estimation. I knew it came from our Finance Department.
- Q. And your understanding at the time on the Friday when you were looking at this was that those were estimates based upon Lehman's books, correct?
  - A. Upon the work that Lehman had done.

Certain, you know, liabilities only come up in the nature a transaction like this, right? So you cancel contracts you have liabilities. So they would be contingent and necessarily not necessarily on your books prior to doing an acquisition like that.

So the -- it's -- it's not -- it's not completely -- it wouldn't be completely just what was actually recorded on the books. There would also be other liabilities that could be

10 Page 211

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK triggered by the transaction itself.

Q. And back to this issue of a viable purchaser, if it had been announced in addition to the other components of the deal that -- withdrawn.

You understood the assumed liabilities component of the deal to be a cost that Barclays would have in the transaction, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. If it had been publicly announced that the \$2 billion cost for compensation had been deliberately inflated by a billion dollars, that in your view would lower the actual cost for Barclays, correct?

MR. KELLEY: Same objection.

- A. If --
- O. If it --
- A. If the answer is if it was inflated, it would lower the cost, the answer is yes, that's factual, I think.
- Q. If it had been announced in addition to other components of the deal that the assumed liability for compensation was deliberately inflated by a billion dollars, do you have a

Page 212

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK view as to whether that might have attracted other viable purchasers?

A. The market was so uncertain and there was so much financial stress in the list of potential purchasers that I'm not sure there was anybody who could have executed it no matter what they thought the gain was because the market would have viewed it as too risky, so to speak, and too strategically risky in many ways and too risky from a financial standpoint to approve them for any board to approve it.

- Q. In your view --
- A. No matter what the gain was.
- Q. Sure. And in your view, was there at any point where additional value in the deal might have attracted other viable purchasers?

MR. HUME: Objection. Asked and answered.

- A. None with the time to actually execute it.
- Q. And what was your role in determining whether or not there were other actual viable purchasers?

A. I didn't have a role in that.

Page 213

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- Q. Did you have any role at all in that?
- A. No, that was the role of the FIG, the FIG bankers, Financial Institutions Group.

MR. GAFFEY: Thanks. Nothing further. THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. ROTHMAN: One question.

EXAMINATION BY

MR. ROTHMAN:

Q. Fair to say that you don't know how the dispute -- the terms of the resolution of the dispute with the DTC on that Sunday night?

MR. HUME: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

MR. KELLEY: Asked and answered, too.

- A. I don't know. Did you say is it fair to say I don't know the terms?
  - Q. You don't know, yes.
- A. I only know it was described that there was 250 million put into the DTC account. I don't know the full terms. As I said, there may have been other terms that I was not aware of.
- Q. You don't know, beyond that 250 million that we discussed, you don't know one

	Page 214		Page 215
1		1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	2	MR. ROTHMAN: Objection to the form.
2	way or the other what was supposed to happen to	3	A. Yes.
3	the unencumbered assets that had been in that	4	MR. HUME: No further questions.
4	DTC box, correct?	5	THE WITNESS: I may have misunderstood
5	A. No, I don't know that.	6	the earlier question.
6	MR. ROTHMAN: Thank you.	7	MR. GAFFEY: I'm going to have mercy.
7	MR. HUME: Let me have follow up on	8	I have no follow-up questions.
8	that.	9	(Time Noted: 3:06 P.M.)
9	EXAMINATION BY	10	oOo
10	MR. HUME:	11	
11	Q. When you say you don't know the	12 13	
12	unencumbered assets in the DTC box, to the	13 14	
13	extent that they were identified by Mr. Lowitt	15	
14	as transferable assets, was it your	16	
15	understanding they were going to be transferred	17	
16	as part of the deal?	18	
17	(Continued on the next page to include		ALEX KIRK
18	the jurat.)	19	
19	• ,	20	Subscribed and sworn to
20			before me this day
21		21	of 2009.
22		22	
23		n o	
24		23 24	
25		25	
	Page 216	<u> </u>	Page 217
1	THOU V CONEIDENTIAL A LUDIC	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK		INDEX
2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEW YORK )	2	
٦	: SS	3	
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	4	A. KIRK Mr. Gaffey 5, 208
5	I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered	5	Mr. Rothman 176, 213
6	Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and	6	Mr. Tecce 190
7	for the State of New York, do hereby	7	Mr. Hume 213, 214
8	certify:	8	EXHIBITS: PAGE
9	That ALEX KIRK, the witness whose	9	Exhibit 316, an e-mail chain with 121
10	deposition is herein before set forth, was	10	attached balance sheet
11	duly sworn by me and that such deposition is	11	Exhibit 317, a document bearing Bates 132
12	a true record of the testimony given by such	12	Nos. 10310050
13	witness.	13	Exhibit 318, a document bearing Bates 137
14 15	I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action	14	Nos. 10325943 with attachment
16	by blood or marriage and that I am in no way	15	Exhibit 319, an e-mail chain 140
17	interested in the outcome of this matter.	16	Exhibit 320, a document bearing Bates 145
18	I further certify that neither the	17	Nos. 10293820
19	deponent nor a party requested a review of	18	Exhibit 321, a document bearing Bates 153
20	the transcript pursuant to Federal Rule of	19	Nos. AK-LB-BANKR00002 through 27
21	Civil Procedure 30(e) before the deposition	20	Exhibit 323, a document bearing Bates 160
22	was completed.	21	Nos. AK-LB-BANKR000030
23	In witness whereof, I have hereunto	22	Exhibit 324, a document bearing Bates 171
	set my hand this 31st day of August, 2009.	23	Nos. AK-LB-BANKR0000987 through 119
24		<i>y_</i> •	1105, THE ED DIN TERCOODS OF MICHESIT ITS
24 25		24	Exhibit 325, a document bearing Bates 174

	Page 218		Page 2	19
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK	1		
2	INDEX (Cont'd.)	2	NAME OF CASE: In re Lehman Brothers	é
1	EXHIBITS: PAGE	3		
3		5.	Reason Codes:	
4	Exhibit 326, an e-mail chain 186	6	<ol> <li>To clarrfy the record.</li> <li>To conform to the facts.</li> </ol>	8
5	Exhibit 327, an e-mail chain dated 195	7	3. To correct transcription errors.	
6	September 21, 2008	8	Page Line Reason	ľ
7		9	Fromto	
8			Page Line Reason	
9		10		ľ
0		11	Page Line Reason           From to	
$I_1$		12		
2		13	Page Line Reason to	
		14		
13			From to	ľ
4		15	Page Line Reason	
15		16	From to	
16		17		I
17		18	From to	ľ
18			Page Line Reason	ľ
19		19 20		l
20		120	From to	
21		21		
02		22	PageLineReason From to	
62		23	Page Line Reason	
22 23 24		24	From to	
¥ 4		7.1		
25		25	ALEX KIRK	
The Act of				
		менным экиментерионероприятирования принципальный принципа		
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		